

# Owens Valley Groundwater Authority

#### **Board Members:**

INDIAN CREEK-WESTRIDGE CSD COUNTY OF MONO CITY OF BISHOP COUNTY OF INYO Luis Elias Rhonda Duggan Karen Kong Dan Totheroh

BIG PINE CSD LONE PINE PAIUTE SHOSHONE TRIBE OWENS VALLEY COMMITTEE

BryAnna Vaughan Mel Joseph Mary Roper

August 12, 2021

The Owens Valley Groundwater Authority meeting was called to order at 2:08 p.m. via videoconference.

#### 1. Pledge of allegiance

The Chairperson led the pledge of allegiance.

#### 2. Public Comment

Carol Ann Mitchell (TVGWMD) stated she wished to make her districts comments on your action item to create management areas as proposed: "We have strongly objected to the Tri-Valley being lumped together in a management area with Fish Slough. Even DWR has recognized Fish Slough as its own basin. Tri Valley has asked for an explanation in our meetings at which your staff and consultants have attended. There has been no concrete answer to our question and no detailed information provided. We did ask for a public meeting to explain this to our constituents but that has never happened. We regret that Tri-Valley and its Board members have sometimes been seen as enemies by the OVGA and that the opportunity to show the State of California that SGMA can improve our area may be lost. However, we want you to know that Tri-Valley loves the Owens Valley and our way of life as much as you do".

#### 3. Introductions

The Board introduced themselves with Indian Creek/Westridge CSD absent and Lone Pine Paiute Shoshone Tribe leaving early with an alternate, Janice Aten in attendance.

#### 4. Approval of minutes from the July 22, 2021 Board meeting

The Chairperson requested a motion to approve the minutes of the July 22, 2021 meeting. Motion to approve the minutes as amended by Dan Totheroh, seconded by Rhonda Duggan. The Chairperson requested a roll call vote; Luis Elias – absent, Rhonda Duggan – Y, Karen Kong – Y, Dan Totheroh - Y, BryAnna Vaughan – Y, Mel Joseph - abstain, Mary Roper – abstain . Motion passed 4 Yes, 1 absent, 2 abstentions.

Philip Anaya provided public comment and stated the following is the narrative he wished to convey in the meeting of July 22, 2021 under his comment in item 7: Philip Anaya requested under Management Actions of the Draft Sustainability Plan, could they, the OVGA Staff and Consultant, consider under Additional Activities (of the DGSP) an action of formally requesting a voluntary GSP for the Adjudicated Portion of the Basin and working for Basin wide sustainability with the LADWP. Philip Anaya also requested under Management Areas (of the DGSP), the addition of Bishop Creek for water table monitoring and the management of excess "non adjudicated" (that being flows in excess of required Chandler Degree and California Fish and Wildlife minimal flows) Bishop Creek surface flow waters for recharge of the local aquifer.

#### 5. Board Member Reports

The Chairperson opened up Board Member reports and there were no reports or updates provided.

#### 6. OVGA staff reports

- a. Financial Report
   Laura Piper, Inyo County Water Department provided the financial report and stated the OVGA cash balance is \$408,274.76; revenue of \$572.61 for 4<sup>th</sup> quarter interest, expense was \$2576.50 in staff services costs.
- Report on Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Authority activities
   John Vallejo stated the IWVGD met yesterday and are still working through the basin wide adjudication that was filed by

- the water district which has the potential to interfere with funding from the state for some of their GSP projects including the Recycled Water Project; there are a few ongoing lawsuits regarding the fee; IWVGD are still having overdraft issues and searching for funding to import water.
- c. Michael Draper, Mono County, stated one survey was received in the past 3-4 weeks; data will start being analyzed in order to add it to the Groundwater Sustainability Plan and should have it analyzed by the end of August.

## 7. Discussion and possible direction to staff regarding future management actions and associated projected costs to implement the Groundwater Sustainability Plan

Dr. Steinwand provided an in-depth PowerPoint presentation on proposed management actions; stated it is the last component of the GSP; provided budget assumptions; and the development steps. The Board, staff and public discussed this in detail and at length. Sally Manning stated she understands this is a presentation about the budget because it needs to be in the GSP; we are snowballing towards the January deadline to submit the GSP and complete the grant work; the public hasn't really seen this plan yet; she has not seen certain comments incorporated or acknowledged; Inyo County gets a big hunk of money from LADWP for water work every year that could be spread to the OVGA for the Owens Valley portion; stated it's a conflict for the Water Director to be the OVGA Executive Director; and the Board should consider an independent coordinator once into the plan. Philip Anaya stated he made two suggestions for additional activities in the management actions and can any be added; he would like to see a proposal for some property tax per parcel assessment to support the OVGA so it's not carried by the community service districts; he stated now's the point in time to consider all of the possible management actions that the GSP is going to accomplish here in the basin; the activity that occurs in Tri-Valley is strictly agriculture, Fish Slough is strictly environmental; the wellfields all the way down through the central and south part of the Owens Valley all have distinct and specific issues that will need to be addressed in the GSP; there could potentially be eight management areas.

Dr. Steinwand relayed the GSP timeline, the 60 day comment period, revisions to the GSP and consideration at the December meeting.

Mel Joseph left the meeting at approximately 2:50 pm.

#### 8. Action Item: Creation of Owens Valley Groundwater Basin Management Areas

The Board, staff, and public discussed this item in detail; how the management areas are defined; why Tri-Valley and Fish Slough are in the same area; and why it was divided into the three basin management areas. Sally Manning stated that Tri-Valley and Fish Slough flipped flopped as far as being connected; she understand the arguments about the hydrogeological connection however she thinks the sustainability criteria would be really different for the two; rare plants and pup fish rely on a very shallow groundwater table vs an agricultural area is very different sustainability criteria; she doesn't feel there was sufficient time allowed for public comment at the stakeholder outreach meetings; and expressed her frustration. Lynn Boulton stated if we try to go after LADWP if they excessively over pump the groundwater and we've only been reporting to the DWR just on three management areas, then the average across the three management areas make it look like everything is acceptable and then there is a problem area within that, any of those three areas then we've not provided documentation to DWR that there is a problem so they are going to go along and say the adjudication is working just fine; they won't see the adjudication exceptions given to LADWP are masking a lot of problems. Emily Fox stated she hoped distinct triggers or criteria for within these management areas is actually represented in the draft GSP so that is clear. Sally Manning stated the arguments for and against seem to be the same; she doesn't see the harm in making the area's smaller and more manageable; listening to the description of what the management will consist of it sounds mostly like its monitoring and then responding to things; this is an opportunity to be more proactive as far as management; Inyo County in the LTWA has no regulatory authority over LADWP; why would we allow LADWP to pump at Owens Lake when the OVGA can have regulatory authority over them. Philip Anaya stated in 2013/14 the ditches (in west Bishop) went dry in August, wells didn't start drying up until December; he stated he sent Dr. Steinwand two websites that talk about how surface flow management works with the SGMA. John Vallejo stated the SGMA covers groundwater not surface flow management. Philip Anaya stated how about staff offering not a real specific plan but what would it look like if we separated some of the distinct areas within the Owens Valley portion as an alternative; maybe there is a hybrid that resolves the issues that have been presented.

Rhonda Duggan left the meeting at approximately 3:25 pm.

The Chairperson requested a motion to approve the Owens Valley Groundwater Basin Management Areas as presented. Motion to approve by Dan Totheroh, seconded by Karen Kong. The Chairperson requested a roll call vote; Luis Elias – absent, Rhonda Duggan – absent, Karen Kong – Y, Dan Totheroh - Y, BryAnna Vaughan. – Y. Motion passed 3 Yes.

#### 9. Discussion regarding future agenda items

Dr. Steinwand stated one item is to consider whether to release the GSP for public comment.

#### 10. Adjourn

The Chairperson adjourned the meeting at 4:18 pm.

COUNTY OF INYO Short [TRANSACTION LISTING] 07/01/2020 - 09/02/2021 Page 1 THU, SEP 02, 2021, 3:33 PM --req: HW0254----leg: GL ----loc: AUD------job:33004376 J043-----prog: GL440 <1.61>--report id: GLFLTR02

SORT ORDER: OBJECT within BUDUNIT

SELECT BUDGET UNIT: 621601

LG BUDGET UNIT	Primary Ref	Transaction Description	SS Ref Date	Job No	Debit	Credit	NET
			11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11			* ************	************
GL 621601-1000	JE37035	AutoTD. JESOGSON Tek	07/01/20	02965043	257,728.58	00.00	257,728.58
GL 621601-1000	TTLCR	AutoID CS207224 Job. 2728031	07/22/20	02728031	75,513.66	00.00	333,242.24
GL 621601-1000	JE37069	JS20729D Joh.	TE 07/20/20	1.1587170	52,859,66	00.00	386,101.90
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	WD19721A Job:273	02/52/10	02/33834	22,654.00	00.0	408,755.90
	TTLOH	AutoID:WD19721B Job:2734188	02/52/10	02175760	0.00	165.40	408,590.50
	INTRCBL	AutoID: JA20806A Job: 2740435	08/04/20	02740725	00.00	66.24	408,524.26
	TILCR	273998	08/02/20	02/40433	1,3/1.90	00.00	409,896.16
	TILOH	Job:274	08/12/20	10266120	4	0.00	432,550.16
	TTLCR	AutoID: CI20818A Job: 2749131	08/18/20	1515/5/00		2,1/4.85	430,375.31
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	74	02/51/80	TCT6#1770	99.514.67	00.0	505,888.97
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	AutoID: OW208277 Joh: 2758428	00/12/20	2149832	00.00	1,438.50	504,450.47
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH		08/31/20	02/58428	00.00	33,944.66	470,505.81
GL 621601-1000	JE37592	AutoID: TROUCIER Tob: 2787167	UH U9/UZ/ZU U	02760763	00.00	2,494.90	468,010.91
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	7/0/7	11/02/20	02787152	00.0	3,000.00	465,010.91
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	AutoID:WD20028R Jph.2708021	11/02/20	7.50030	00.00	2,275.00	462,735.91
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	AutoID:OB20N12B Joh:2804082	11/04/20	02004000	00.0	5,673.75	457,062.16
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	Tob. 281362	12/51/11	02804082	00.00	78,259.75	378,802.41
GL 621601-1000	TILOH	Joh 2813653	02/10/21	02813653	00.00	10,800.00	368,002.41
GL 621601-1000	TILOH	57000 C . 40I.	12/01/20	02613633	00.00	36,818.00	331,184.41
GL 621601-1000	JE38067		12/11/20	02820273	00 0	2,316.00	328,868.41
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	DR20015 Tob. 20225	12/74/20	02821410	00 0	9,223.69	319,644.72
GL 621601-1000	INTEREST	17.1	12/16/20	02822829	00.0	67,021.70	252,623.02
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	/ #C0707	12/21/20	02826547	1,027,13	00.00	253,650.15
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH		01/12/21	02837270	00.0	37,882.50	215,767.65
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	Tob.2862887	12/22/20	02852057	00.0	2,184.00	213,583.65
GL 621601-1000	INTEREST	u	T7/97/70	02864850	00.0	31,211.50	182,372.15
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	000000	77/90/50	028/055	866.84	00.0	183,238.99
GL 621601-1000	JE38701	,	OH 03/17/21 0	02876096	00.0		182,958.99
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	877120	12/01/00	02077770	00.0	18,767.23	164,191.76
GL 621601-1000	TILOH		03/10/21	021/120	00.0	16,218.75	147,973.01
GL 621601-1000	TILOH	Job : 2879638	03/23/21	028//120	00.00	480.50	147,492.51
	JE38924	23	04/14/21	6667860	00.0	317.52	147,174.99
GL 621601-1000	JE38925	2894983	14/41/50	70070000	00.0	4,500.00	142,674.99
GL 621601-1000	INTEREST	Job: 2897691	12/27/20	02807503	00.000	4,500.00	138,174.99
	TILOH	907061	16/63/51	12070000	n	00.00	138,574.69
	TILOH		12/50/50	19070620	00.0	4,452.50	134,122.19
	JE39129	262	12/20/20	2001000		4, TOI. UU	129,961.19
	TTLOH	328585	12/10/50	02928E		7,34I.b/	120,019.52
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH		FC/01/90	11777000	00.0	1,535.00	LIB, 484.52
GL 621601-1000	JA20027	401	12/01/50	1417 10767960	00.00	2,473.00	116,011.52
GL 621601-1000	JA20028	2963401	06/27/21	10153000	00.0	•	114,511.52
GL 621601-1000	JA20052	JH21722A Job: 2968811	12/12/00	10400000	0	7, U3/.4T	105,474.11
GL 621601-1000	ISO621B	IS210723 Job: 2974283	06/27/21	7788877	T0. \$00 , \$00	00.00	410,278.92
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	M20719A Tob-2974214	LC/8C/L0	7,50000	00.00		410,278.65
GL 621601-1000	INTRCBL	979	OR/02/21	07977970	00.0	2,576.50	407,702.15
GL 621601-1000	TTLOH	991718	08/18/21	027177	10.2/0	00.00	408,274.76
******Total *OBJT 1000		CLAIM ON CASH		DR	815,966.55	411,577.36	404,389.19
GL 621601-1160	VEADENT						
	INTROBL	1. balance Forward 2019/2020 J 4th QTR INTEREST RVRS	JE 07/01/20 02 JE 08/04/20 02	02965043 02740435	1,371.90	0.00	1,371.90

COUNTY OF INYO Short [TRANSACTION LISTING] 07/01/2020 - 09/02/2021 Page 2 THU, SEP 02, 2021, 3:33 PM --req: HW0254----leg: GL ----loc: AUD------job:33004376 J043-----prog: GL440 <1.61>--report id: GLFLTR02

SORT ORDER: OBJECT within BUDUNIT

SELECT BUDGET UNIT: 621601

		Transaction Description	SS Ref Date	Job No	Debit	+: 7002	MON
GL 621601-1160	TMTDOOT	====	== ===		11 21 17 11	C.C	MEA
	INTRCBL	4th OTR INTEREST 4th OTR INTEREST BIDS	JE 06/30/21	02977947	572.61	00.00	572.61
******Total *OBJT 1160		i N		029/7979 DR	0.00	572.61	00.00
	GS2107100600	GOLDEN STATE RI ACCT#OWENVAL	OH 06/08/21	0563550			,
GL 621601-1200	2122 PREPAID	UA414926:GOLDEN STATE RISK MAN	胃	02957391		2.473.00	2,473,00
TOGG : TOGG		PREPAID EXPENSES		DR	2,473.00	2,473.00	0.00
	YEAREND	1. Balance Forward 2019/2020	JE 07/01/20	02965043	c		
	TILOH	AutoID: WD19721A Job: 2733181		02733181	00.0	37,624.25	37,624.25
GL 621601-2000	TTLOH	AutoID:WD19721A Job:2734188		02734188	165.40	0.00 O	37,789.65
	TTLOH		ОН 07/29/20	02734188	66.24	00.0	37,558 01
	TTLOH	AutoID:WD19805A Job:2747387		02747387	2,174.85	00.0	35,383.16
	TILOH	AUCOID: MD13611A JOD: 2749832	OH 08/19/20	02749832	1,438.50	00.00	33,944.66
	TILOH		OH 08/31/20	02758428	33,944.66	00.0	
	TILOH			£3703750	00.00	,	2,494.90
GL 621601-2000	TILOH			02796227		0.00	00-0
GE 621601-2000	HOTLI.		ОН 11/02/20	02796630	2,275.00		00.672,2
	TILOR			02798240	00.00	5,673.75	5,673.75
	TTLOH	AUCOLD: WD20028B Job:2798921		02798921	5,673.75	00.00	00.0
	TTLOH	AUTOTO-OBZUNIZB JOB:2803602	OH 11/12/20	02803602		78,259.75	78,259.75
	TILOH			02804082	78,259,75	0.00	00.0
	TTLOK			02812959	96.0	10,800.00	10,800.00
	TILOH			02813653	10,800,00	00.818,85	47,618.00
GI 621601-2000	TTLOH		OH 12/01/20	02813653	36,818.00	00.0	Ď
	TILOH			02819778	00.00	2,316.00	2.316.00
	T.T.OH		12/11/20	02820273	2,316.00		00.0
	TTLOH		12/15/20	02822529	00-0	67,021.70	67,021,70
GL 621601-2000	TTLOH	Joh: 2834074	OH 12/16/20	02822929	67,021.70	00.00	00.00
	TTLOH	Job: 2837270	01/12/21	02834074	00.0	37,882,50	37,882.50
	TTLOH	Job:2859888	02/18/21	02859888	00.00	00.00	0.00
GL 621601-2000	TTLOH	Job:2862067	02/23/21	02862067	2,184,00	00.4.00	2, 184.00
	TTLOH	Job:2863578	02/24/21	02863578	00.0	31,211.50	31,211,50
	TTLOH		02/26/21	02864850	31,211.50	00.0	00.0
GL 621601-2000	TTLOH	Job: 2875609	OH 03/16/21 (	02875609	00.0	280.00	280.00
GL 621601-2000	TTLOH	Job: 2876138	12//1/60	028/6096	280.00	00.0	00.0
	TTLOH	Job: 2876457	12/11/50	02016150	00.0	16,218,75	16,218.75
	TTLOH	Job:2877120	03/18/21	02877120	16.218.75	480.50	16,699.25
GL 621601-2000	TTLOH	Job:2877120	OH 03/18/21 (	02877120	480.50	00-0	06.004
GI 621601-2000	HOLLI	Job:2878154	03/19/21	02878154	00-0	317.52	317.52
	TOTTE TOTTE	Job: 2879638	03/23/21	02879638	317.52	0.00	0.00
			04/28/21	02904334	00.0	4,161.00	4,161.00
GL 621601-2000		Joh: 2902264	OH 04/29/21 C	02905264	00.0	4,452.50	8,613.50
		Job: 2907061	12/50/50	02907061	4,452,50	00.00	4,161.00
			12/26/20	2010120	00.101.*	00.00	[
GL 621601-2000	TTLOH	Job:2928585	06/01/21	02928585	1.535.00	00.055.74	1,535.00
					1		0.00

COUNTY OF INYO Short [TRANSACTION LISTING] 07/01/2020 - 09/02/2021 Page 3 THU, SEP 02, 2021, 3:33 PM --reg: HW0254----leg: GL ----loc: AUD------job:3004376 J043-----prog: GL440 <1.61>--report id: GLFLTR02

SORT ORDER: OBJECT within BUDUNIT

SELECT BUDGET UNIT; 621601

Lg BUDGET UNIT	Primary Ref	Transaction Description	SS Ref Date	Job No	Debit	Credit	NET
621601-2000	-	AutoID:WD20603A Job:2935332	rc/80/90 HO				
	TILOH			02937411	00.00	2,473.00	2,473.00
	TILOH	AutoID: WD20719A Job: 2971183		02971183	00.0	2 576 50	0.00
	TTLOH		OH 06/27/21	02990910	00.0	3.885.57	6 462 07
GT 621601-2000	TTLOH	AutoID: WD20719A Job: 2974214	OH 07/28/21	02974214	2,576.50	0.00	3,885,57
****#*********************************	HOTILI	AutoID:WD20811B Job:2991718	OH 08/18/21	02991718	3,885.57	00.00	00.00
Cal Cool		ACCOUNTS PAYABLE		CR	351,107.09	351,107.09	00.00
GL 621601-3000	YEAREND	1. Balance Forward 2019/2020	JE 07/01/20	02965043	00.00	221,476.23	221.476.23
TOTAL LORGI 3000		FUND BALANCE AVAILABLE	LE	CR	00.00	221,476.23	221,476.23
	INTEREST	1ST QRT 20/21 INTEREST	JE 12/21/20	02826547	c	500	
GL 621601-4301	INTEREST	QRT 20/21		02870655	00.0	1,027.13 BEE DA	1,027.13
GL 621601-4301	INTEREST			02897691	00.00	399.70	77 500 0
GE SCISUL-4301 ************************************	INTRCBL	4th OTR INTEREST	JE 06/30/21	02977947	00.0	572.61	2,866.28
TOOP TOOP		INTEREST FROM TREASURY	RY	CR R	00.00	2,866.28	2,866.28
	JA20052	CR121950:I#2	JE 06/27/21	L1883620	c	20 000	1
GL 621601-4498	JA20052	CR123416:I#3	06/27/21	02968811	00-0	120,707,61	20, 108, 05
	JA20052	CR124555:I#4&5	06/27/21	02968811	00.0	51 269 TE	307,433,66
*****Total *0BJT 4498		STATE GRANTS		8	00.0	304,804.81	304,804,81
GL 621601-4599	TE37035	COLUMNICA MONTO COUNT 10/00					
	CR119092	I#3 OVGA GSP CONTRIBUTION	JE 07/22/20	02728031	00.0	75,513.66	75,513.66
GL 621601-4599	JE37069	I#3 OVGA GSP DEVELOPMENT	02/22/20	1/587/70	00.0	52,859.66	128,373,32
GL 621601-4599	CR119368	WEST	08/02/20	02/33834	00.0	22,654.00	151,027.32
GL 621601-4599	CR119566	I#3 20/21 OVGA-MOND CO 2020-21	02/20/20	10565720	00.0	22,654.00	173,681.32
******Total *OBJT 4599				CR	00.0	77,513,66	249,194.98
				Š	0	249, 194.9B	249,194.98
GL 621601-5129	IS0621B	IS PHOTOCOPIES Q4	JE 06/27/21	02974283	0.27	00:0	0.27
		INTERNAL COPY CHARGES	(NON-IS)	DR	0.27	00.0	0.27
GL 621601-5155	GS200710060	GOLDEN STATE RI ACCT#OWENNAI.	00/10/60 10	0.100000			
GL 621601-5155	2122 PREPAID	UA414926 GOLDEN STATE RISK MAN		101111000	06.484.2	00-0	2,494.90
*******Total *OBJT 5155		PUBLIC LIABILITY INSURANCE	13/00/	DR	4,967.90	00.0	4,967.90
GT, 621601-5263		Carret Control of the					
	10243		07/28/20	02733181	165.40	00.00	165.40
	13646	STEEDS DEADER TANG COUNTY WATE	03/16/21	02875609	280.00	00.00	445.40
*******Total *OBIT 5263			OH 03/19/21 C	02878154	317.52	00.00	762.92
		ADVERTISING		DR	762.92	00.00	762.92
	042420	FRCHTER & COMPA 18/19 AUDIT OV	OH 10/30/20 0	72296720	2 275 00	c	
	244576		11/12/20	02803602	78.259.75	20.0	00.672,2
	6607	WEBSITE DESIG	11/24/20	02811282	10,800,00	00.0	91,34,75
	245042	STEPHE INYO CO PROJ#D	OH 11/30/20 0	02812959	36,818,00	00-0	128 152 75
GL 621601-5265	111220	R & COMPA 18/19 AUDIT OV	OH 12/10/20 0	02819778	2,316.00	00.00	130,468.75
621601 5265	243077	B STEPHE INYO CO PROJ#D	12/15/20	02822529	48,031.95	00.0	178,500.70
621601-5265	24355	B STEPHE INYO CO PROJ#D	12/15/20	02822529	18,989.75	00.00	197,490.45
621601-5265	245844 246495	CO PROJ#D	01/07/21	02834074	37,882.50	00.00	235,372.95
	776971	B SIEPHE INTO CO PROJ#D	02/24/21	02863578	31,211.50	00.0	266,584.45
	Z466/1	DANIEL B STEPHE INYO CO PROJ#D (	OH 03/17/21 0	02876138	11,892.50	00.00	278,476.95

COUNTY OF INYO Short [TRANSACTION LISTING] 07/01/2020 - 09/02/2021 Page 4 THU, SEP 02, 2021, 3:33 PM --req: HW0254---leg: GL ---loc: AUD------job:3004376 J043-----prog: GL440 <1.61>--report id: GLFLTR02

SORT ORDER: OBJECT within BUDUNIT

SELECT BUDGET UNIT: 621601

NET		282,803.20	283,283.70	287,736.20	289,271.20	289,271.20	1	3,000.00	8,673.75	17,897.44	20,081.44	38,848.67	43,348.67	47,848.67	52,009,67	61,951.34	63,451.34	72,488.75	75,065.25	78,950.82	78,950.82	0.00
Credit		00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00		00.0	00-0	00.0	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00-0	1,545,444.26
Debit	985,55665866666	4,326.25	480.50	4,452.50	1,535.00	289,271.20	000	00.000.5	5,673.75	9,223.69	2,184.00	18,767.23	4,500.00	4,500.00	4,161.00	9,941.67	1,500.00	9,037.41	2,576.50	3,885.57	78,950.82	1,545,444.26 1,545,444.26
Job No	41944111	02876138	02876457	02905264	02925039	DR	02787152	3740000					02894983		02904334	02911262	02963401		02971183	02990910	DR	DR-CR
Transaction Description SS Ref Date Job No	3. 对对方移移中的特殊的国际的国际的工作的工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工作工	Q	INTO REGISTER, ACCT#00006200 OH 03/17/21 02876457	DANTEL B STEPHE INTO CO PROJ#D OH 04/29/21	DANLEL B STEPHE APRZI INYO P#D OH 05/26/21	PROFESSIONAL & SPECIAL SERVICE	OVGA-BOARD STAFF JUL-SEP20	5	5	JOL-SEFICACO STAFF OVER BOARD JE 12/14/20	ONO C OH	F JE	JE		MONO COUNTY JAN-MAR 2021 STAFF OH 04/28/21	JE	ĴΕ	AFR-JUNZI OVGA-STAFF SERVICES JE 06/27/21	MUNU, COUNTY OF APR-JUN 2021 S OH 06/27/21	BISHUP, CITY OF JULY 20-June 2 OH 06/27/21	OTHER AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS	OVGA-OWENS VALLEY GROUNDWATER
Lg BUDGET UNIT				GI 621601-5265	3,TT 5265		GL 621601-5539 JE37592	GL 621601-5539 202101		) (	•			GI, 621601-5539		GI CETECT - 2333 CEGATZY				B.T1' 5539		******Total *BUDG 621601

00.0

1,545,444.26 1,545,444.26

DR-CR

TOTAL \*\*

\*\* GRAND

# **UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCES** COUNTY OF INYO

# AS OF 06/30/2021

Fund Balance Undesignated 406,554	406,554
Encumbrances 309	309
Computed Fund Balance 406,883	406,863
Deferred Revenue 2200	
Loans Payable 2140	
Accounts Payable 2000 6,462	6,462
Prepaid Expenses 1200 2,473	2,473
Loàns Receivable 1140	
Accounts Receivable 1100,1105,1160 573	573
Claim on Cash 1000 410,279 410,279	410,279
WATER OVGA-OWENS VALLEY Totals	Grand Totals
WDIR 6272 WDIR	

Page:

User: HW0254 Heather Williams
Report: GL8001: Undesignated Fund Balances

Current Date: 09/02/2021 Current Time: 15:34:02

### COUNTY OF INYO

## Budget to Actuals with Encumbrances by Key/Obj

Ledger: GL

As Of 9/2/2021

Object	Description	Budget	Actual	Encumbrance	Balance	
Key: 621601 - OVGA-0	OWENS VALLEY GROUNDWATER		7100001	Encumorance	Dalance	-
Revenue						
4301 4498 4599	INTEREST FROM TREASURY STATE GRANTS	4,000.00 311,284.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	4,000.00 311,284.00	0.00
	OTHER AGENCIES	249,195.00	0.00	0.00	249,195,00	0.00
Revenu Expenditure	e Total:	564,479.00	0.00	0.00	564,479.00	0,00
5129 5155 5263 5265 5291 5311 5539 5901	INTERNAL COPY CHARGES PUBLIC LIABILITY INSURANCE ADVERTISING PROFESSIONAL & SPECIAL OFFICE, SPACE & SITE RENTAL GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSE OTHER AGENCY CONTINGENCIES	1,500.00 2,500.00 2,000.00 314,834.00 1,500.00 500.00 104,470.00 13,290.00	0.00 2,473.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1,500.00 27.00 2,000.00 314,834.00 1,500.00 500.00 104,470.00	0.00 98.92 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Expendi	ture Total:	440,594.00	2,473.00		13,290.00	0.00
621601	Key Total:	123,885.00	(2,473.00)	0.00	438,121.00 126,358.00	

### OWENS VALLEY GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY

Big Pine CSD – City of Bishop – County of Inyo – County of Mono – Indian Creek-Westridge CSD Interested Parties: Lone Pine Painte Shoshone Tribe - Owens Valley Committee

P.O. Box 337 135 Jackson Street Independence, CA 93526

Phone: (760) 878-0001 Fax: (760) 878-2552 www.inyowater.org

#### Staff Report

Date: September 9, 2021

Subject: Item 6b. Tri-Valley survey update

A total of 512 surveys were mailed to Tri Valley residents in May 2021. The surveys included a pre-paid self-addressed envelope for returning the survey to Mono County Community Development. Responses to some of the questions that were not simple yes or no answers are still being summarized and will be compiled for the GSP and provided to the Board in a memorandum.

A total of 41 responses were received, or 8.01%.

1. Have you viewed the Owens Valley Groundwater Authority's webpage? <a href="https://ovga.us/">https://ovga.us/</a>

YES NO

36.59% of respondents circled YES.

2. Would you like to receive more information about the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act, the Owens Valley Groundwater Authority, or be placed on the Authority's email list?

YES NO

46.34% of respondents circled YES.

3. Would you like Owens Valley Groundwater Authority staff to contact you directly by phone or email for a discussion about groundwater management issues?

YES NO

17.07% of respondents circled YES.

A1. Do you have any comments about the Tri-Valley groundwater basin's physical environment, potential effects of climate change, or overall hydrology, or comments about the Tri-Valley area being identified as a management area? If so, please describe them below.

A2. Do you know the water level in your well(s)?

YES

#### 56.10% of respondents circled YES

A3. What do you believe is the water level trend in your well (increasing, decreasing or stable), and is your well or water supply affected? If so, how?

8 respondents identified their well level as being stable. 18 respondents identified their well level is decreasing.

A4. What issues, if any, do you see pertaining to pumping and groundwater management within the Tri-Valley?

A5. Are you aware of any effects pumping has on springs or vegetation in the Tri-Valley? If so, what and where?  26.83% of respondents circled YES	YES	NO
B1. Do the thresholds proposed in the table above to represent undesirable results that should be avoided? If not, how should they be modified and why?  53.65% of respondents circled YES	YES	NO
C1. Do the objectives proposed in the table above represent sustainable conditions? If not, what how should they be modified and why?  26.83% of respondents circled YES	YES	NO
C2. Would you like additional information to evaluate what is proposed in the tables above? If so, please provide your contact information at the beginning of this survey.  31.71% of respondents circled YES	YES	NO
C3. Would you add or remove any undesirable results or objectives? If YES, what would you add or remove?  17.07% of respondents circled YES	YES	NO
D1. Would you be interested in cooperating on ongoing water level monitoring?  21.95% of respondents circled YES	YES	NO

# MONO COUNTY TRI-VALLEY GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

P.O. Box 936 Benton, CA 93512 www.tvgmd.org

Carol Ann Mitchell, Chairperson
Phil West, Vice-Chairperson
Marion Dunn, Secretary
Geri Bassett
Richard Moss
Matt Doonan
Rhonda Duggan, Mono County District 2 Supervisor

August 27, 2021

Owens Valley Groundwater Authority c/o Aaron Steinwand P.O. Box 337 Independence, CA 93526

To the Board of Directors of the Owens Valley Groundwater Authority:

The Board of Directors for the Tri-Valley Groundwater Management District ("TVGMD") writes this letter to provide comments on the Groundwater Sustainability Plan Management Actions and Projects ("GSP Matrix") that were presented at the July 22, 2021 OVGA Board meeting.

First, TVGMD would like to raise the concern that the GSP Matrix states that "[a]ll jurisdictional issues [are] set aside for now," but then proceeds to propose several management actions that implicate significant jurisdictional issues. Indeed, some of those proposed management actions are linked to a trigger of adoption of the GSP, which leaves very little time to address those critical jurisdictional issues. For example, on the first page¹ of the GSP Matrix alone, three proposed actions are triggered by "GSP adoption" and depend on the resolution of jurisdictional authority over groundwater regulation in the Tri-Valley, particularly given that the Owens Valley Basin has not yet been re-rated by the Department of Water Resources to require management actions. These are the proposals to (1) "[e]stablish supply well registration and reporting," (2) "[i]ncrease groundwater level monitoring network" (which may depend on TVGMD approval of "new monitoring well installation") and (3) "[r]eview new permits for water supply wells. Regulate production if necessary to ensure water levels remain within SMC." Continuing to leave unresolved determinative jurisdictional issues will hamper any final GSP that is adopted without full consideration of them and render decisions on proposed management actions in the GSP that implicate jurisdictional issues all but theoretical.

Second, TVGMD is concerned that several of the triggers appear to be unconnected to the status of the basin. As a low-priority basin, the Owens Valley Basin is not required under SGMA to develop or implement a GSP, though it can voluntarily do so and is doing so. None of the triggers are tied in any way to the rating of the basin or the requirements of SGMA. Only two management actions proposed for the Tri-Valley area appear to acknowledge the scope of regulatory authority SGMA, indicating that "if a pumping effect is determined" the action will be necessary. First, such a contingency should be contained in the trigger for the management action, rather than the management action itself, where it is not clear if the OVGA will proceed with a management action even if a pumping effect is not determined. But,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As the GSP Matrix presentation did not include page numbers, TVGMD references pages beginning with the proposed matrix as the first page.

moreover, the ultimate GSP should be explicit about whether it is intended for immediate action, or whether it is connected to the basin rating under SGMA.

Third, the GSP Matrix appears to have significantly disproportionate management actions proposed for the three different management areas. In the GSP Matrix, for the Tri-Valley Management Area has fifteen actions proposed. The Owens Valley and the Owens Lake Management Areas, by contrast, have only eight and nine actions proposed, respectively. It does not appear that the disproportionate in heavy-handed management proposals can be attributed to the lack of a current groundwater model for the Tri-Valley Management Area.

Fourth, TVGMD raises that there are significant ambiguities in the GSP Matrix related to when management actions will actually be triggered. For example, where an action has more than one trigger, the GSP Matrix does not specify how the multiple triggers will need to resolve in order for the OVGA to move on a proposed management action. For example, on page 2 of the matrix, the action "[i]f efficiency gains have not addressed the declining water levels based on the model and monitoring, develop a pumping program to stabilize water levels by 2030 and attain the measurable objective by 2042," there are two triggers identified: "SMC Minimum Threshold hit" and "Groundwater Model Completed." The GSP Matrix does not identify how the triggers will be resolved. For example, should the SMC minimum threshold be hit, but there is no groundwater model, there is no clear direction for the OVGA to base an action. While the "Notes" for that management action indicate that it is "[d]ependent on groundwater model completion...," it is not clear that note becomes a set part of the GSP to resolve the ambiguity.

Relatedly, the GSP Matrix does not address how and whether actions that appear based on the "Notes" to be dependent on the completion of a groundwater model for the Tri-Valley Management Area will be resolved. It should be clear in the future GSP how actions that are dependent on the completion of a groundwater model for the Tri-Valley area will be treated should a groundwater model not be completed or be completed on a longer timeline than the GSP Matrix appears to anticipate. Direction for the OVGA should be clear on when and how to take management actions that are purported to be dependent on the completion of a groundwater model.

Similarly, there is ambiguity where some of the triggers are listed as "Board Direction" only. The GSP Matrix or the ultimate GSP should make clear what criteria will constrain "Board Direction," such as what SGMA sustainability criteria would be implicated in an OVGA decision to proceed on a management action.

Finally, TVGMD still voices its objection to the inclusion of the Fish Slough area in the Tri-Valley Management Area for the purpose of the GSP. It was represented at the OVGA meeting on August 12 that distinct management criteria will be used and set within management areas, for example to accommodate for the fact that sustainability criteria will be different for an area like Fish Slough, where there are ecological values, from the residential and agricultural values that predominate in much of the Tri-Valley areas. It is not clear from the GSP Matrix that such distinct management criteria will actually be developed and implemented, as represented. It should be made clear in the ultimate GSP what distinct management and sustainability criteria will govern these distinct areas that OVGA has chosen to group together.

Sincerely,

**Emily Fox** 

On Behalf of the TVGMD Board of Directors